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# Introduction

The sports industry is a honeypot for hacktivists, cyber-terrorism and financially motivated attacks. Sports stadiums are firmly in the spotlight, demonstrated by the National Cyber Security Centre (NCSC) producing their first ever report into cyber-crime in sport (NCSC, 2020). With the advancement of technology, enabling physically-operated and cyber-connected devices, machines are interacting with the real world and thus the lines between cyber-crime and human safety have been sufficiently blurred (Benslimane, 2022).

The proliferation and excitement of introducing technology into sports stadiums has led to them containing an exponential number of devices aimed at providing better functionality, without security in mind. Therefore, the safeguarding of these devices, ensuring the need for their accurate and consistent operation can now be a matter of life and death. Roberts (2019) discusses example threats present within sports stadiums and well as the maritime transport industry, conducting example risk assessment approaches, and comparing them with traditional physical-only attacks. Roberts highlights that physical security has traditionally been at the forefront of discussions, however indicating an increasing interest on how cyber physical systems can cause threat to life when either the cyber component either fails of falls victim to cyber-attack. Either of these scenarios can be used as a precursor to launch a physical attack, for example locking smart turnstiles can pen people in one area, or a threatening message displayed on the big screen causes people to panic and flee, maximising the effects of a booby trap affecting an area filled with people, and causing similar results to those witnessed at the Stade de France in 2015 and the Arianda Grande concert at the Machester Arena in 2017 (Roberts, 2019).

**Internet of Things**

The Internet of Things (IoT) allows real world items to communicate with computing and other IoT devices on public and private networks, with each ‘thing’ being a real world device possessing network address and unique ID enabling it to become ‘smart’. The proliferation of IoT is existential and by 2021 there were 12.2 billion active IoT endpoints, globally (Hasan, 2022) surpassing traditional Internet-connected devices such as personal computers and smart phones along the way (Lueth, 2020). IoT continues to grow, and together with Artificial Intelligence (AI) and other technologies, is forming what is known as the fourth industrial revolution, better known as Industry 4.0 (Iberdrola, 2016).

Which devices classed as IoT divides opinion, however it generally can be classified into one of two categories:

1. *Consumer IoT (CIoT)* – A connected system of physical and digital objects designed to be beneficial to the consumer’s lifestyle in some way. These smart devices usually include personal and home devices, implemented to improve data gathering, sharing and processing with minimal need for human involvement. Consumer devices can leverage edge or fog computing, and be orchestrated from devices such as laptops, tablets and smart phones.
2. *Industrial IoT (IIoT)* – Have a more organisational system-centric focus and include devices designed to improve workflows and minimise human error. Temperature, humidity and toxicity can all be monitored automatically. IIoT is often responsible for controlling heavy moving parts known as Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) which interact with the world directly, and so high availability is of optimum importance in IIoT, as is greater scalability and transparency. Multiple sectors are now seeing the benefit of IIoT, including energy, agriculture, manufacturing, transportation, and healthcare. Safety considerations are also paramount in IIoT, and so predictive maintenance is also of importance.

CIoT and IIoT can also overlap depending on use case. For example, wearable technology would normally be considered CIoT, however can also be worn by industrial engineers to monitor their location and radiation levels, making it suitable for IIoT.

# IoT Architecture

IoT architecture can be broken down into three basic fundamental layers (Alaba et al., 2017; Burhan et al., 2018; Abughazaleh et al., 2020; Mei et al., 2020).

* Perception / Sensing layer: comprising of sensors attached to physical devices that collect data, and actuators that act on it.
* Network / Transportation layer: connecting devices together and responsible for data transmissions between them, gateways and data centres through Ethernet, Wi-Fi, Near Field Communications (NFC), for example.
* Application layer: allows humans to interact with the IoT. For example, through a control panel displayed on a mobile phone, an API, or a dashboard on a workstation.

There are also four (Zubaydi et al., 2023; Navarro et al., 2020), and commonly recognised five level (MongoDB, N.D.) variations, as shown in Figure 1, and even seven layer models for higher IoT design granularity. Various other domain-specific models are discussed by Jamali et al. (2019).

In the four-layer model, a new Processing layer (often called Middleware layer) introduced, above the Network layer. The Network layer, often called Transport layer, continues to deal with messaging and connectivity. The Processing layer is responsible for any processing of the data from its raw form, performing data analytics to provide meaningful insights and make decisions.

In the five-layer model, a Business layer is introduced, used for IoT in organisations where business intelligence is carried out on data, enabling them to build better products and improve processes.

Additional levels are added as many believe the three-layer architecture is not sufficient for some applications, as well lacking in security (Burhan et al., 2018), furthermore, Sethi et al. (2017) add that additional layers allow for IoT specific research at a more granular level. By breaking the IoT architecture into more levels, security can be thought of more granularly and specific to each of them. Burhan et al. (2018) provide a review of architecture layers, the threats that apply to each of them, and also propose a six-layer architecture addressing security.



Figure 1: IoT Architectures

IoT architecture is also described as having four stages: Sensors and Actuators, Internet gateways (Data Acquisition), Edge computing and Data centre / Cloud.

This better describes how edge computing is incorporated into IoT. Sensors generate data, and actuators act on it. This can be analogous to the Perception layer in the three-layer model. Internet gateways are the proxy between the IoT network and the Internet, allowing the local IoT devices to effectively communicate with the outside world while keeping IoT data segregated in a local environment. Data acquisition and aggregation happens at this stage, converting analogue data collected from multiple heterogenic sensors into digital form. Edge computing can be incorporated to pre-process critical data, allowing decisions to be made in real time before data is offloaded in bulk to the cloud or local data centre for deeper analysis. By processing data on the edge of the network, local network devices receive feedback much quicker than if data were being sent to, and received from the data centre. Furthermore, security is enhanced due to the limited network exposure. Finally, the Data Centre / Cloud stage allows the heavy work to be done on the resultant big data, involving analytics to find insights and obtain meaningful results, as well as providing archiving.

* IoT’s use in the modern worlds

Planet Earth is moving toward being a fully connected world with almost 30 billion IoT connected devices expected by 2030 (Statista, 2023). This is despite recent forecasts being curtailed only following a global chip shortage (Onag, 2021), as reflected in the IoT Analytics trends from Leuth (2020) and Hasan (2022).

IoT is already widespread in multiple sectors. For example, healthcare IoT (HIoT) is already prevalent, and is another area where human life is clearly paramount. Wearables monitoring heartbeats, blood pressure, and respiration providing telemetry-based health metrics, IoT and can be used to predict or detect diseases which would have previously gone undiscovered and therefore make them more treatable or preventable. IoT can assist those recovering, the elderly, or those with disabilities, with everyday life whilst relieving the workload of caregivers, and can also be used to support functions inside and outside of a hospital setting (Yin et al., 2016). In agriculture, sensors report on humidity levels, soil quality, temperatures and crop and livestock health, remote cameras can be deployed to monitor disease, and actuators can activate sprinklers on schedules or when specific criteria are met, increasing revenue for the farmer (Farooq et al., 2019).

The energy sector can benefit from smart meters in the home, making it easier for customers to monitor their usage, while providers simultaneously monitor collective information to efficiently manage energy delivery across smart grids, one of many components in a fully connected smart city. Predicted energy savings for smart cities are to reach $96 billion in 2026 (Juniper Research, 2022), and by moderating power supply across the city using intelligent devices, automated street lighting, traffic management, and wireless access points can be integrated to help it become truly connected. Smart cars can benefit from connected electric charging points, while their embedded systems pull latest traffic information and weather, preparing not only the driver for the journey ahead, moreover also relaying the information to passing cars using Machine to Machine (M2M) communications and receiving real time updates on nearby accidents and road closures.

Guests at hotels can check themselves in using smart check-ins before being issued Radio Frequency ID (RFID) key cards to access their room.

Smart homes are omnipresent with CIoT. Families are more connected to the outside world than ever, with more than one in three Americans owning a smart speaker (Woodall, 2021), and with a multitude of devices including smart televisions, lamps, fridges, and washing machines available, even smart plugs can now enable any mains powered device to have its power controlled by an app, common communication technology for smart homes include Zigbee and Z-Wave.

Manufacturing floors are the forerunners to Industry 4.0 and IoT plays a huge part by monitoring production flow to maximise efficiency and minimise waste, introduce predictive maintenance, maintain quality assurance, and maintain a safe work environment.

Sport is also highly affected by IoT. Sportspersons use wearables to monitor their performance such as smart vests containing GPS and performance monitors, cameras are enabling on-field decisions with systems such as Hawkeye, goal line technology and Video Assistant Referee (VAR) (Harrod Sport, 2018), sensors are inserted into balls to track spin, speed and trajectory, and fans are better engaged thanks to in-game updates sent to digital display monitors and advertising boards.

# Smart stadiums and Cyber Security

* Which devices in a stadium are smart

Sports stadiums are important for the area they are located in, attracting visitors willing to contribute to the local economy in return for entertainment. They are among the top tourist attractions in the most frequently visited cities, forming the concept of venues as a destination. Their infrastructures serve as a catalyst for regeneration of cities or areas, such projects often include new shops, housing, and restaurants. These concepts bring numerous benefits to the local community and improves the well-being of local residents, showing the importance of sports stadiums have to cities.

Today’s elite stadiums are built with consumer convenience and experience enhancement in mind, as well as safety. Stadium IoT devices include a wide range of devices, from camera recognition technologies, to biometric entry, from automatic turnstiles, to advertising boards, big screens, automated lighting, Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) systems and retractable roof etc. By introducing IoT, sports stadiums will increase in automation, thus saving money while maximising convenience for the consumer. No longer do visitors benefit from solely viewing the match or event, moreover spectators can benefit from IoT such as gaining entry using ticketless entrance systems, order concessions directly from their seat or pay using Near Field Communications (NFC), and get in-game stats on the live event itself (PWC, N.D.). Smart stadiums in particular are often used as testbeds for developing IoT before deploying to smart cities and other real world scenarios (O’Brolcháin et al., 2019; Hutchins & Andrejevic, 2021; Van Heck et al., 2021).

Organisations are also progressively introducing new technology, such as by FIFA using cameras secured within the stadium to track players’ limbs, and the ball, virtually recreating plays on the field for spectators, as well as aiding on-field decisions (FIFA, 2022).

Van Heck et al. (2021) provide a review of various smart devices in Johan Cruijff Arena in Amsterdam, a forerunning smart-focused stadium with a particular goal of becoming the world’s most innovative stadium, and discusses the problem of combatting the lure of patrons watching sport at home. Nine smart devices in the stadium were discovered, including an innovative smart turf monitoring system, however only four were operational the time, with the others in various stages of development. Most of the discovered devices were for improving customer service, such as payment systems and ticketing check ins, where interestingly none were there to directly enhance the experience. The study found that further smart enhancements to the stadium would improve the fan experience, including stadium guides, and facial recognition technology (FRT) which was subsequently used in magnitude with 15,000 cameras being deployed at the 2022 Qatar football world cup, which itself raises privacy concerns (Seals, 2022).

Automated FRT is such a hotly disputed topic that the British Security Industry Association (BSIA) have published a set of guidelines to navigate ethical and legal issues that this technology brings (BSIA, 2021). Super Bowl XXXV caused uproar in 2001 after it became known that police had deviously used FRT to scan 100,000 visitor faces looking for known criminals (Brey, 2004), whereas Norstrom (2021) advocates that FRT could have prevented the disaster at the Euro 2020 football final by using it as verification at entry. Brey discusses the advantages and disadvantages of using facial recognition in public places, noting that security often comes at the cost of privacy. Furthermore, the recording of one’s face by an IoT-operated camera directly contradicts principle 1.6 of the Association of Computer Machinery’s (ACM) Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct (ACM, N.D.), and this opens up more questions as to who owns the data. It may be very difficult to expect 80,000 spectators to fill out a waiver upon entry, or perhaps unrealistic for them to read a notice mounted on a wall advising them of recordings and data gathering. Brey also highlights other specific issues using FRT, including errors and function creep, which are however reduced through modern technology and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), respectively.

* Security challenges in sports stadiums

IoT devices in stadiums can significantly contribute to security efforts. Devices can be deployed to assist with crowd control, surveillance, and logistics (O’Brolcháin et al., 2019).

Alhadad & Abood (2018) discuss the importance of making improvements to stadiums, in a desperate bid to keep alluring spectators back, speculating that organisers are competing amongst themselves off the field, just as their sporting subjects are on it. Interestingly, according to Nate Evans, a lecturer from Argonne National Laboratory, competition may be the very answer to assuring a healthy cybersecurity status amongst peers (Baker, 2020).

Melander (2020) also addresses privacy issues of collecting data from cameras, and remarks on several points of ethical use, and the need for strict regulation, a more extensive ethical study in this respect was conducted by O’Brolcháin et al. (2019).

Organisations putting on major sporting events can also benefit from the input of global experts in cyber security, physical security and sporting legislation by participating in the Project Stadia run by INTERPOL (INTERPOL, N.D.).

Any organisation in the UK that collects and stores data from customers, including data acquired by IoT devices, is subject to the Data Protection Act (2018) and GDPR, meaning data must be protected by secure means, stored only for required purposes, as long as necessary, as well as giving the data subject rights to their own data (GDPR, 2022).

Melander (2020) also comments on the need for a uniform security protocol standard for all devices to mitigate a large proportion of IoT security threats.

Regulation in IoT has longed been campaigned for, and until recently UK businesses in the IoT production lifecycle had only voluntary guidelines. The European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) released EN303645, the first IoT standard in June 2020 (ETSI, 2020), and follows the UK’s lead with its 13 requirements closely matching the Code of Practice (HM Government, 2018). This list of security requirements is aimed at providing an assurance baseline for IoT devices, aligning for product certification, and hopes to drive adoption of security measures worldwide**.**

Moreover, the Product Security and Telecommunications Infrastructure Act (2022) was recently introduced, enforcing the first three foremost practices from the former Code of Practice and EN 303 645 into law. The act carries with it harsh penalties, synonymous with that of the GDPR (2022). While addressing such vulnerabilities as the use of default credentials, which allowed the 2016 Mirai attack, the act does not cover devices manufactured and deployed before its enactment in December 2022, meaning they may be forever insecure in the wild, notwithstanding further important omissions such as secure communications, unnecessary port closure, or input validation. Perhaps most prominently in regards to this paper, it does not cover industrial IoT nor those used by businesses, as those are to be superseded by other regulations (UK Parliament, 2021).

* Consequences of compromise

Proliferation of cyber-attacks is growing due to development and reliance of technology, especially the increasing use of wireless communications such as cellular technology and Wi-Fi. The security of sports stadiums is something that cannot be taken lightly, with 70% of sports institutions were annually subjected to a cyber-incident (NCSC, 2020).

Compromise of one device, can mean compromise of an entire network, as demonstrated in 2018 where an IoT fish tank was breached, allowing attackers to penetrate a casino’s internal network (Wilner, 2018). Furthermore, they can be life threatening. Implantable Medical Devices (IMDs) such as pacemakers come with the possibility to be compromised from outside the body, making it possible to assassinate. Reportedly, former US vice-president Dick Cheney was concerned enough about this threat, that he asked for its wireless functions to be disabled as a countermeasure (Pycroft & Aziz, 2018).

Compromise can lead to financial losses, reputational damage, financial penalties, and most importantly in IoT’s case, loss of life.

The very fact that IoT comprises of Cyber Physical Systems (CPS) in today’s world, including those found in sports stadiums extends the threat of cyber-attacks to human life. Advance risk management of sporting events is imperative due to present threats to human life and the potential for financial and reputational damage for the organisation (Wan et al, 2022).

Mowafi et al. (2013) provided a framework for tracking the gathering of mass crowds using wireless sensors, enabling guidance information for patrons, while providing decision support to crowd managers.

* Examples of historic compromise

The Mirai botnet of 2016 showed how inept the IoT world was in detecting and preventing cyber-attacks. Preying on the multitude of smart devices lacking rigidly secure defences, the botnet was able to infect hundreds of thousands of devices across the world in its first twenty hours (Antonakakis et al., 2020). It propagated as a worm using each new zombie to scan for further devices with open SSH or Telnet ports, and once found, attempted authentication using a pre-determined list of credential combinations. Likely evolving from a previous Trojan named Bashlight, Mirai produced multiple variants and zombies from the resultant botnet, comprising of nearly half a million zombies, were eventually used to target Dyn, a hosting company providing DNS services (Kambourakis et al., 2017), rendering several well-known sites unavailable.

The attack on IT systems at the Winter Olympics opening ceremony caused display monitors to shut down, and paralysed the Wi-Fi and website leaving attendees unable to print tickets or access information.  The success of the attack was clear to when many seats were left empty for the celebration. This attack was perfectly timed and used to gain the world’s attention through the media (Kaspersky, 2018). The 2016 attack on the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) further shows how nation states can use sport as a way of showing their political prowess (Datta & Acton, 2022). A Russian state-sponsored cyber hacking group known as Fancy Bears were able to extract details of several athletes taking legitimately approved drugs by using credentials gleaned from a phishing attack, and posted them to their website (Pitsiladis et al., 2017). This according to WADA was a retaliation for banning Russian athletes from the 2016 Summer Paralympic games in Brasil, after they had been found to have submitted doctored samples during the 2014 Winter games testing (Pingue, 2016). The act caused embarrassment to WADA and the Olympics, and distrust amongst the public.

# IoT Technology and Cyber Security in sports

* The various current IoT technology uses and deployment methods

A modern day smart stadium can include a network of generic laptops, desktops and networking equipment, and may be connected by Ethernet or wireless means. There are also likely devices such as cyber-physical systems (CPS) and embedded systems, both are often connected by IoT technologies. Embedded systems often include a user interface and are generally software-based, static control systems instilled in a physical platform, and there to perform a specific function. CPS can often include many more capabilities than embedded systems, they are hardware cyber-connected devices that incorporate software (Wan et al., 2022) and interact with the real world.

With the gradual introduction of 5G, IoT is destined to become Massive IoT, eliminating the need for human interaction, simplifying traditional methods of networking, and making much networking equipment redundant. 5G was developed with IoT in mind with speeds 10 times faster than 4G, less latency, a greater capacity, coping with multiple device connections simultaneously, and allowing devices to connect directly to each other across geographic locations (Li et al., 2018). This will also eventually benefit those with devices in rural or remote locations, previously without access to reliable broadband.

* The importance of securing IoT in sports stadiums

Often in the outside world, it is enough to secure your defences so that attackers will give up to seek easier targets. Unfortunately, when hosting sports mega events (SMEs), where hacktivism and nation states can see an opportunity to make their mark, this is an unrealistic possibility.

This has led to the development of the National Centre for Spectator Sports Safety and Security (NCS4), an academic centre at the University of Southern Mississippi dedicated to furthering spectator sport safety and security (NCS4, N.D). The NCS4 conduct research and inform sporting organisations on best practices for the safety and security of their operations.

NCS4 have, in partnership with the Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA), provided an example diagram of a typical connected stadium with key vulnerabilities, consequences, and suitable mitigations (CISA, N.D.).

The United Nations (UN) also produced a guide for securing SMEs, identifying operational, legal, and reputational risks as three key cyber risk categories for consideration when preparing for cyber-attacks, and highlighting IoT as a particular problem (UN, 2021).

* How IoT is currently secured in sports stadiums?

Qatar continues the trend for modern cyber intelligence enhancements, learning from previous SMEs. Helped by its close proximity, the eight stadiums used in the 2022 world cup were connected, using edge computing and artificial intelligence to facilitate information gathering at speed, and recreated digital twins for better understanding of events in real time (Seals, 2022).

* Ways in which IoT is lacking security in sports stadiums, and why

Literature on sports stadium IoT security is thin, however many IoT security based problems have been discussed as the potential disasters are well-known and feared. Melander (2020) gives an example of a sensor failing to report a fire to IoT, with disastrous consequences.

Wan et al. (2022) proposed a cost-effective AI model to determine cyber-attacks to cyber-physical systems which enhanced prediction and accuracy on abnormal network traffic.

Li et al. (2018) highlighted some security challenges with the introduction of 5G technology, including how to secure communications through cryptographic means and at the device level, how to provide energy-efficient security for resource-constrained devices, and how to provide trust assurance through the IoT stack.

Most IoT attacks are also found in traditional cyber-attacks owing to their dependency on the Internet as a backbone, while IoT devices are less equipped to defend against them due to their limited resources (Deogirikar & Vidhate, 2017). Deogirikar & Vidhate (2017) provide a taxonomy of various attacks on IoT, classifying them into four categories: physical, network, software, and encryption based, while Abdul-Ghani et al. (2018) provide a similar, however somewhat more comprehensive, classification using the following categories: physical, protocol, data (at rest), and software based.
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